[PROPOSED] ORDER

*DENYING* 

The Court, having considered the Plaintiffs' Motion to File a Surreply To Respond To New Argument in Reply to Siemens Motion for Summary Judgment, finds that the Motion should be granted.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED as follows:

Plaintiffs NeuroGrafix and Washington Research Foundation may file a surreply to new argument in reply to Siemens Motion for Summary Judgment. Therefore, the surreply attached as Exhibit A to the Motion is deemed entered.

The Court does not accept surreplies.

Dated September 15, 2011

Hon. Mariana R. Pfaelzer United States District Court Judge

NOTE: CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THIS DOCUMENT